



# FET Flagship Graphene WP Energy (ramp-up phase)

WP coordinator: Etienne QUESNEL (CEA-Liten)

Deputy coordinator: Vittorio Pellegrini (CNR)

WP partners: A. Ferrari, C. Grey, T. Hasan (UCAM), A.Talyzin (Umea), F. Giustino (UOXF)
A. Paez (REP), D.Wei, J. Kinova (Nokia) G.seifert, J-Ole Joswig (TUDr),
E. Kymakis (TEIC), L. Crema (FBK), P. Le Barny, P. Legagneux (TRT),
L. Manna, R.Krahne (IIT), B. Saner Okan, Alkan Gürsel (SU), T. Rojo (CIC),
S. Patoux, S. Perraud (CEA)



### **Scientific work packages**





### WP9: 4 topics



In the 10-year perspective, this WP will develop and demonstrate the industrial potentials of key enabling graphene-based technologies for:

- Energy production systems: photovoltaics, fuel cells
- Energy storage systems: batteries, supercapacitors and hydrogen storage



### **Photovoltaics**

<u>Partners</u>: CEA-Liten (CEA), Uni. Oxford (UOXF), Italian Institute of technology (IIT), Technological Education Institute of Crete (TEIC).

<u>Criteria</u>: conversion efficiency, €/Wp

- ➤ 3 technological routes privileged:
  - ✤ Inorganic thin film PV: chalcogenide , wet process (CEA).
  - Organic PV (TEIC)
  - ✤ QD cells (IIT)
- Integration of Graphene:
  - Electrodes (e- and hole extraction layers),
  - ✤ Absorber layer.
- Support optronic modelling (UOXF)



### **Photovoltaics**

#### Chalcogenide (CIGS, CZTS) wet processed solar cells



World record cell: PCE=20.3% in co-evaporation

\* Cu<sub>2</sub>ZnSn(S<sub>1-x</sub>Se<sub>x</sub>)<sub>4</sub> based solar cell produced by selenization of vacuum deposited precursors
 L. Grenet, S. Perraud et al, Solar Energy Materials & Solar Cells 101 (2012) 11.

Graphene top electrode

- Processed at 200°C max.
- R <30  $\Omega$ / $\Box$  and T >85%.



### **Photovoltaics**

Organic solar cells: world record cell: η~9% in single junction (visible)



#### Novel GO reduction approach: TCO electrodes

Flexible OPV Cells with In Situ Non thermal Photoreduction of Spin-Coated Graphene Oxide Electrodes E. Kymakis et al., Adv. Funct. Mater. 2013, 1.

#### **Present issues**

<u>ITO</u>: scarcity, lack of flexibility, poor transparency in Near Infrared.

<u>PEDOT:PSS</u> : acidic solution, unstable.



Functionalized GO-based Hole Extraction Layer

- Replacement of PEDOT:PSS
- PCE>2.5%



\*

### **Photovoltaics**

QD solar cells: tunable bandgaps for less thermalization losses

World record: PCE= **4.4%** with ZnO / PbS QD heterojunctions.



#### **Present issues**

Extraction of photo-carriers difficult!

High rate of recombinaisons

Operating solar cells with QD-functionalized graphene as PV absorber:

 $QD = Cu_{2-x}S \text{ or } Cu_{2-x}Se$ 

Partners: Sabancy University (SU)

Criteria: catalyst utilization efficiency W/g.Pt, lifetime.

- > 2 technological routes privileged:
  - Pre-functionalization of G-sheets: control the Pt/Pt alloy catalyst grafting
  - Graphene-based nanocomposites (WP10)
- Integration of Graphene
  - Single fuel cells for electrical characterization.

## **2** Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells

✤Use of functionalized G-sheets: better control of electrode surface area, conductivity, catalyst localization and water draining.



High Pt content (ink): ~0.4mg/cm2

5kW/g.Pt (SoA~1kW/gPt)

Only a few % Pt is effective

Saner, B. et al, Layer-by-Layer Polypyrrole Coated GO and G Nanosheets as Catalyst Support Materials for Fuel Cells, Fullerenes, Nanotubes, and Carbon nanostructures, 21: 233–247 (2013)



### **Batteries**

Partners: CEA-Liten (CEA), NOKIA.UKL, REPSOL (REP), Uni. Cambridge (UCAM), CIC Energygune (CIC).

Criteria: power density (kW/kg), energy density (Wh/kg), charging time, lifetime

- ➤ 3 technological routes:
  - ✤ GO-based route for the coating of electrodes (CEA).
  - Graphene/nanocrystals electrodes (UCAM).
  - Flexible electrodes: CVD graphene-Cu foil and graphene ink processing (NOKIA).
- Support characterisation activities
  - NMR characterisation during battery operation (UCAM).
  - Conventional battery testing (REP, CIC, CEA).
  - Dynamic modelling for lifetime prediction (REP).



### Li<sup>+</sup> Batteries

GO-based route for the coating of electrodes: charging time

#### Statement:

- Most electrode active materials suffer from a poor conductivity (LiFePO<sub>4</sub>, LiMnPO<sub>4</sub>, Si,  $L_{1+x}M_{1-x}O_2$ , where M=Mn, Ni, Co, ...)
- Carbon coating by high T°C pyrolysis of C-precursors under controlled atmosphere: energy demanding.







Optimize GO process implementation

Better control of G thickness and structure



### Li<sup>+</sup> Batteries

Graphene/nanocrystals electrodes : lifetime.

#### Statement:

- Active materials suffer from huge volume changes during charging/discharging.
- Affect the cycle lifetime.





Work done at Georgia Tech. (2010)

# Granule made of Carbon interconnected Si nCs



Use of nanocrystal-based hosting materials : Si for inst.

=> Requires nano-engineering

#### Flagship approach

• *Ex- situ* or *In-situ* growth of Si nCs between **graphene** layers.

•Porous FeOx ribbons grown on **graphene** (WP10)

Battery with much higher cycle lifetime: >1000

### **Supercapacitors**

Partners: CIC Energygune (CIC), Thales (TRT), Uni. Cambridge (UCAM).

Criteria: power density (kW/kg) and energy density (Wh/kg)

- > 2 technological routes for electrochemical double layer capacitors:
  - ✤ GO-based route for the development of cost effective synthesis of electrodes (CIC).
  - Mesoporous electrodes combining CNT and graphene (TRT).
- Support characterisation activities
  - NMR characterisation: charging mechanisms versus electrode microstructure (UCAM)



✤ GO-based route: Microwave process for cost effective synthesis of electrodes.

<u>Objective</u>: maximize the surface area of carbon electrodes





Mesoporous electrodes combining CNT and graphene

Conventional process:

- $\checkmark$  Electrode= activated carbon (high surface area).
- ✓ Very small pores (1 nm) not easily accessible to ions of electrolyte.

Innovative approach:

- ✓ Use of a composite electrode: graphene + CNT.
- ✓ Recently demonstrated\*:
  - C= 290F/g in aqueous electrolyte.
  - Energy density= 62Wh/Kg
  - Power density= 58.5kW/Kg.







### Hydrogen storage

Partners: CNR Pisa, Uni. Umea, Technical Uni. Dresden (TUDr), Bruno Kessler Foundation (FBK)

Criteria: storage capacity (Kg/m<sup>3</sup>) and density (Wt%), ease of uptake/release of H<sub>2</sub>

- > 3 technological routes for the hosting material:
  - Decorated graphene with metal ad-atoms or molecular groups (FBK, UMEA, TUDr).
  - Intercalated multilayered graphene (UMEA)
  - Curved graphene sheets (CNR, UMEA).
- Support activities
  - Modelling studies: interaction G-H2 with/without interlayer metal spacers, H2 storage capacity vs G-functionalization, etc... (CNR, TUDr)
  - Preliminary integration studies: testing tank (FBK).



Decorated graphene with metal ad-atoms or molecular groups

tuning of surface kinetics of ad- and de-sorption reactions (H2-H spill-over process)

Modify graphene with various chemical species, such as Calcium or transisiton metals (Titanium) or Nitrogen





Capacity up to 5-9 wt%

Target: 3% wt% at RT



### Hydrogen storage

#### Curved graphene sheets

Control H2 uptake/release via graphene curvature



\* Change with curvature of H binding E on graphene (modelling)



Experimental proof of concept of curvature effect.

Method to monitor the curvature?



